

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,732	07/25/2001	Joseph Atabekov	0933-0169P	5590
2292	7590 10/24/2003		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			LAMBERTSON, DAVID A	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH、VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
		DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/911,732	ATABEKOV ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David A. Lambertson	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with th correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 21 and 22.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>23,24,26,28-30,32 and 33</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19,20,25,27,31 and 34-37</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuati n Sh t (PTOL-303) 009/911,732

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the After Final amendment, Applicant has completely changed the nature of their invention in claim 19; the invention was originally drawn to a product (a recombinant nucleic acid), and applicant is seeking to change the invention to a method. Not only is this improper in terms of election by original presentation, but it would also require a completely new search and consideration. Furthermore, it does not simplify the claim for purposes of appeal because the invention is now completely different from the one elected by original presentation.

As it regards claim 20, which remains directed to a product (a recombinant DNA molecule), the claim as amended introduces new limitations (e.g., "a plant-expressible gene;" "a cDNA sequence element;" etc.) that were not previously searched, and would therefore require new search and consideration.

As it regards newly added claims 42 and 43, these claims are much broader in scope than the claims originally presented. Thus these claims would require a new search and consideration to encompass the increased scope of the claims. Similarly, the claims are not placed in a better form for appeal because they increase the scope of the invention, and thus complicate the issues.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are predicated on the entry of the After Final amendment, which has not been entered. Therefore, Applicant's arguments are moot.

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER